Case 1:07-cv-10239-AKH Document 1	Filed 11/02/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
Andrew Green	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,  - against -  A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerste 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for a	ein, United States District Judge, dated June 22 all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE OF	F ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Coinstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in additive Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.	with an '☑" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, ANDREW GREEN, by his/her/their a NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), res	•
I. PART	<u> FIES</u>
A. PLAINT	IFF(S)
a citizen of Minnesota residing at 17685 County Road 2 (OR)	
2. Alternatively, $\square$ is the is the and brings this claim in his (her) cap	e of Decedent pacity as of the Estate of

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-10259-ARH Documen	TI Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 01 11	
3. citizen of Injured Plain	residing at tiff:	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the	
	injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff  Other:	
4. Police Depar	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 12/1/2 tment (NYPD) as a Detective at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York	
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)bout 9/11/2001 until 12/1/2001;	The Barge  From on or about;  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.	
Approximate Approximate	ly <u>16</u> hours per day; for ly <u>50</u> days total.	Approximately days total.  ===================================	
☐ The New From on or a Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about 9/20/2001 until 12/1/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 20 days total.		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
*Continue t		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION  ☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE ONE ROOTING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAPFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	✓EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO,

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

O	TE.	IER:	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

# 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-10239-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, b	Jurisdi	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.		
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION		
of lial	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
			Other:		

#### Case 1:07-cv-10239-AKH Page 8 of 11 Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

V	Cancer Injury: <u>Kidney Cancer</u> Date of onset: <u>1/31/2007</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: <u>Asthma</u> Date of onset: <u>1/26/2006</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 1/31/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	<b>V</b>	Other Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:		
<b>✓</b>	Pain and suffering	
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
<b>V</b>	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 31, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Andrew Green

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 31, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Oocket No:  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Andrew Green,	
Plaintiff(s) - against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	
Defendant(s).	
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT	===
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700	
To Attorney(s) for	===
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,	===
Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:	===
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20	
That an order of which the within is a true control will be presented for settlement to the HON.  judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,	
Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP	•